

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/586,177	07/17/2006	Holger Timinger	DE040018US1	2751	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 03/19/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			BRUTUS, JOEL F		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3777		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/10/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com debbie.henn@philips.com marianne.fox@philips.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/586,177	TIMINGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOEL F. BRUTUS	3777	

			1				
	JOEL F. BRUTUS	3777					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	he reply was filed after a final—rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this pplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request of Continued Examination (RGE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
	iod for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In to event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period value of the control of the date of the control of the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to 			cause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 		E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying to	he issues for				
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			DTOL 204)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imaly filed amondmor	at canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliitted iii a separate, t	intery med amendmen	it cancelling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
/Tse Chen/	/Joel F Brutus/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3777	Examiner, Art Unit 3777						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed includes broader independent claims that examiner needs to update the search [i.e., in other areas] in accordance to the claims; therefore, the newly added limitations are considered new issue and require further search.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment includes broader claim limitations which necessitates the examiner to uptate the search in accordance to the claims; therefore, the newly added limitations are considered new issue and require further search.

Furthermore, Applicant argues that the reference doesn't teach doesn't teach at least one reference phase of the heartbeat. With regards to a reference phase of heartbeat; Applicant discloses a phase of the heartbeat may correspond to the electrical coronary activity (ECG) recordable by electrographs. Accordingly, Sachar discloses electrogram 502 [see 0108] which means an ECG apparatus is inherently disclosed and can accurite different phases of the heartbeat such as a reference phase.

In addition, Evans et al disclose correlating between ECG trace and dispolacement motion trace.

Therefore, one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have be able to use the ECG apparatus of Evans et al to get phases of the heartbeat such as at least one reference phase.